Addendum to "Nonlinear quantum evolution with maximal entropy production"

S. Gheorghiu-Svirschevski*

1087 Beacon Street, Suite 301, Newton, Massachusetts 02459 (Received 23 January 2001; published 11 April 2001)

The author calls attention to previous work with related results, which to his knowledge has escaped scrutiny before the publication of the paper "Nonlinear quantum evolution with maximal entropy production" [Phys. Rev. A **63**, 022105 (2001)].

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.63.054102

PACS number(s): 03.65.Ta, 11.10.Lm, 04.60.-m, 05.45.-a

In a recently published paper [1], I proposed a nonlinear extension of the nonrelativistic Liouville–von Neumann equation, which incorporates both the unitary propagation of pure quantum states and the second principle of thermodynamics. Shortly after this work was approved for publication, it was brought to my attention [2] that a closely related undertaking on the subject was reported about two decades ago by Beretta and co-workers [3–8], and later also in Refs. [9,10]. The present Addendum is intended to acknowledge and correct this oversight, and to provide a few brief observations on the relation between these works and the results presented in Ref. [1].

In Ref. [3], Beretta et al. proposed that the dynamical principle of quantum theory be replaced by a postulated nonlinear equation of motion which is, algebraically, a generalization of Eq. (24) in Ref. [1] [also see Eq. (91)]. General properties of this equation were also presented in an axiomatic framework, particularly with regard to the nature of nondissipative and equilibrium states (also see Ref. [4]). Unfortunately, the applicability of the theory was hindered by a number of mathematical difficulties, e.g., by the lack of a definitive proof of the positivity of the evolution. A wellbehaved example was later given for the two-level system in interaction with an external field [5]. Such problems notwithstanding, it was argued [6,7] that the proposed nonlinear evolution drives the density matrix along the direction of steepest entropy ascent under given constraints, and Ref. [7] provided a notable theorem on exact, generalized Onsager reciprocity, not restricted to the near-equilibrium regime. The stability of thermodynamic equilibrium states was also discussed [8], with reference to (but not limited to the context of) the postulated nonlinear dynamics. More recently, Korsch and Steffen studied a family of closely related dissipative dynamics [9], and also derived explicit solutions for a driven harmonic oscillator by Lie algebra techniques [10].

Beretta's confidence in the physicality of his construction seems to find vindication after all. In Ref. [1] the theory was formulated in terms of state operators γ associated with the density matrix $\rho(\rho = \gamma \gamma^+)$, and the equation of motion was derived from a variational principle which observes the principles of quantum mechanics and the fundamental laws of thermodynamics. The existence and uniqueness of the solutions for ρ follow from the equation of motion for γ , and the positivity of the evolution is guaranteed by construction. The reader can also find alternative proofs for the fundamental properties of the equation of motion, a derivation of a nearequilibrium limit, exact to first-order deviations of γ from the equilibrium state, and a proof of the equivalence between symmetry covariance, conservation laws, and associated commutation relations.

The latter assertion implies that the generators for the nonlinear equation are determined by the dynamical symmetries of the system under consideration, and conveys a precise meaning for Beretta's "complete set of constants of motion." On the other hand, it must be noted that some constants of motion that Beretta includes in his set of "generators of motion," specifically observables associated with (nonrelativistic) superselection rules [e.g., the number of particles of a (physical) constituent] need not be accounted for separately. Indeed, let \hat{N} be such an observable and $[\hat{N}, H]$ =0, with *H* the Hamiltonian of the system. Any physically meaningful density matrix ρ of an isolated system has non vanishing matrix elements only between states corresponding to the same eigenvalue ν of \hat{N} , such that $\hat{N}\rho = \rho \hat{N} = \nu \rho$. But if ρ evolves according to Eq. (24) in Ref. [1], it can be verified that $\hat{N}\dot{\rho} = \dot{\rho}\hat{N} = \nu\dot{\rho}$, which means that this property is preserved in time implicitly, and any additional constraint on the average of \hat{N} is superfluous.

Also, it appears that Beretta et al.'s approach to multicomponent systems and separability [11] falls outside the ansatz used in Ref. [1], and the associated mathematical difficulties remain to be solved. This is due to the occurrence of products of partial traces of the total density matrix ρ over various subsystems, which prevent the reduction of the equation of motion for ρ to an equation of motion for γ . The point of view adopted in Ref. [1] on separability follows the conventional philosophy, and does not distinguish between elementary and compounded systems, other than by their symmetry group. More precisely, the equation of motion is regarded as an expression of dynamical constraints imposed by symmetry principles, conservation of probability, and the first and second principles of thermodynamics. Hence multicomponent systems are on an equal footing with elementary systems, up to additional symmetries. In particular, noninteracting, mutually isolated systems display a supplementary time-translation symmetry, which restricts the accessible space of physical states to disentangled states, and consequently reduces (algebraically) both the conservation laws (including those generated by symmetry principles) and the second principle to corresponding statements for the isolated

1050-2947/2001/63(5)/054102(2)/\$20.00

^{*}Email address: hnmg@soa.com

parts. The equation of motion that accounts for all the constraints in this case reduces to individual equations of motion for the parts, as expected for separable systems.

A last remark concerns Beretta's approach to the Onsager reciprocity relations [7]. The reader may find it useful to note that Beretta's quorum of self-adjoint operators (a maximal set of linearly independent operators in the set of self-adjoint operators on the physical Hilbert space) is in fact a selfadjoint basis on the space of linear operators. Such bases always exist, and the set of self-adjoint (or better, Hermitian) operators can be retrieved as the set of real linear combinations of the basis operators [for instance, a basis $\{|\alpha\rangle\}$ in the Hilbert space generates the basis $\{|\alpha\beta\rangle = |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|\}$ for the operator space, which can be rearranged into the self-adjoint basis { $|\alpha\alpha\rangle, |\alpha\beta+\rangle, |\alpha\beta-\rangle$ }, where $|\alpha\beta+\rangle = (|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|)$ $+|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha|)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|\alpha\beta-\rangle=i(|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|-|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha|)/\sqrt{2}]$. Beretta's quorum corresponds to a generally nonorthogonal, nonnormalizable, self-adjoint basis of observables, which can be obtained from a basis of the type shown here through a nonsingular linear transformation on the operator space. In this context, it can be easily verified that Beretta's approach to Onsager relations applies, in general, to evolution equations of the form

$$\dot{\rho} = \mathbf{L} \log \rho + \frac{i}{\hbar} [\rho, H],$$

with **L** a tilde-symmetric superoperator [1], which may dependent nonlinearly on ρ , but acts linearly on log ρ . Also, the conservation of probability $[\operatorname{Tr}(\dot{\rho})=0]$ demands $\mathbf{L}^+I=0$ for the identity *I* on the wave-function Hilbert space, while conservation of an observable *C* $[\operatorname{Tr}(C\dot{\rho})=0]$ requires $\mathbf{L}^+C=0$. Assuming that the positivity of ρ is also preserved, the entropy production will be positive if and only if **L** is also Hermitian ($\mathbf{L}^+=\mathbf{L}$) and positive definite $[\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha^+\mathbf{L}\alpha)\geq 0$ for any α in the operator space]. In this case, for any self-adjoint (Hermitian) operator basis $\{\chi_{\alpha}\}$, one can retrieve Onsager relations (see Ref. [7]) for the Heisenberg transforms $\chi_{\alpha}^{H} = \exp[(i/\hbar)Ht]\chi_{\alpha}\exp[-(i/\hbar)Ht]$, and the corresponding coefficients will be given by $L_{\alpha\beta}^{H} = \operatorname{Tr}(\chi_{\alpha}^{H}\mathbf{L}\chi_{\beta}^{H})$, with $L_{\alpha\beta}^{H} = L_{\beta\alpha}^{H} = (L_{\beta\alpha}^{H})^{*}$.

I remain indebted to Dr. Robert Englman of SOREQ, Israel for bringing Dr. Beretta's work to my attention. I also thank Dr. Beretta for providing a list of his publications.

- [1] S. Gheorghiu-Svirschevski, Phys. Rev. A 63, 022105 (2001).
- [2] R. Englman (private communication).
- [3] G.P. Beretta, E.P. Gyftopoulos, J.L. Park, and G.N. Hatsopoulos, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis., B 82, 169 (1984).
- [4] G.P. Beretta, in Frontiers of Nonequilibrium Statistical Physics, Vol. 1350 of NATO Advanced Study Institute, Series B: Physics, edited by G.T. Moore and M.O. Scully (Plenum Press, New York, 1986), pp. 193 and 205.
- [5] G.P. Beretta, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 24, 119 (1985); 24, 1233 (1985).
- [6] G.P. Beretta, in *The Physics of Phase Space*, edited by Y.S.

Kim and W.W. Zachary, Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 278 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986); and in *Second Law Analysis of Heat Transfer in Energy Systems*, edited by R.F. Boehm and N. Lior (HTD, City, 1987), Vol. 80.

- [7] G.P. Beretta, Found. Phys. 17, 365 (1987).
- [8] G.P. Beretta, J. Math. Phys. 27, 305 (1986), and unpublished.
- [9] H.J. Korsch and H. Steffen, J. Phys. A 20, 3787 (1987).
- [10] M. Hensel and H.J. Korsh, J. Phys. A 25, 2043 (1992).
- [11] G.P. Beretta, E.P. Gyftopoulos, and J.L. Park, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis., B 87, 77 (1985).